Judiciary of Bangladesh

Saud Hassan¹

The **Judiciary of Bangladesh** or **Judicial system of Bangladesh** is based on the Constitution and the laws are enacted by the legislature and interpreted by the higher courts. Bangladesh Supreme Court is the highest court of Bangladesh. The jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Bangladesh has been described in Article 94(1) of the Constitution of Bangladesh. The Supreme Court of Bangladesh consists of two divisions namely the Appellate Division and the High Court Division. These two divisions of the Supreme Court have separate jurisdictions. The judiciary in Bangladesh consists of the higher judiciary (the Supreme Court) and the subordinate judiciary (the lower courts).

The Supreme Court of Bangladesh

Chief Justice of Bangladesh and other Judges

The Chief Justice of Bangladesh is the chief amongst the judges of the Supreme Court of Bangladesh, and also head of the whole judicial establishments, including subordinate courts. The Chief Justice of Bangladesh is appointed by the President of Bangladesh. The Chief Justice of Bangladesh sits in the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court with other judges to hear and decide cases, presides over meetings of the full Supreme Court to transact business relating to administration of the court, and supervises the discipline of the judges and magistrates of the subordinate courts.

Judges of the Supreme Court are appointed from amongst the advocates of the Supreme Court and judicial officers. Judges appointed in the Appellate Division sit in that division with the Chief Justice, and the judges appointed in the High Court Division sit in that division.

The Appellate Division

The Appellate Division hears both civil and criminal appeals from the High Court Division. The Appellate Division may also decide a point of law reserved for its decision by the High Court Division, as well as any point of law of public interest arising in the course of an appeal from a subordinate court to the High Court Division, which has been reserved by the High Court Division for the decision of the Appellate Division.

The Appellate Division hears appeals from the judgment, decree, order or sentence passed by the High Court Division or from any other court or tribunal, if so provided by law made by the House of the Nation (the Jatiya Sangsad), such as decision of the Administrative Appellate Tribunal, Arpita Samapatti Appellate Tribunal or Land Survey Appellate Tribunal. In addition to the above appellate power the Appellate Division has advisory jurisdiction to give its opinion when sought by the President on any question of law of public importance. For doing complete justice in any case, pending before it, Appellate Division has power to issue any direction, order, decree or writ including attendance of any person or discovery or production of any document.

The High Court Division

The High Court Division of the Supreme Court consists of civil courts, Criminal courts and some Special courts. Article 101 of the Constitution provides that the High Court Division shall have such

¹ Saud Hassan, Chief Judicial Magistrate (Additional District & Sessions Judge), District-Tangail, Bangladesh (E-mail: <u>sauddu@gmail.com</u>)

original, appellate and other jurisdictions, powers and functions as are or may be conferred on it by the Constitution or any other law.

The High Court Division has power of judicial review. On the application of any aggrieved person the High Court Division may give such directions or orders to any person or authority including a person performing any function in connection with the affairs of the Republic for the enforcement of any of the fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution. In enforcing the fundamental rights, the High Court Division is empowered to declare any law inconsistent with the fundamental right or any other part of the Constitution void to the extent of inconsistency. The High Court Division has also original jurisdiction in cases relating to company, admiralty, matrimonial issues, trade marks, copyrights, etc. The High Court Division may also withdraw a case from any subordinate court and dispose of the same if any substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution or a point of general public importance is involved in that case.

The High Court Division has appellate and revisional jurisdictions conferred on it by the laws. An appeal lies to the Appellate Division as of right from judgment, decree, order or sentence passed by the High Court Division where the High Court Division certifies that the case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of Bangladesh or has sentenced a person to death or imprisonment for life or has imposed punishment for contempt of that court.

Both the divisions of the Supreme Court of Bangladesh are courts of record, and have power subject to the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act 1926 to investigate and punish anyone for contempt of court. Law declared by the Appellate Division is binding on the High Court Division and the law declared by either Division of the Supreme Court is binding on all subordinate courts. All authorities executive and judicial in the country shall act in aid of the Supreme Court. Subject to any law made by the House of the Nation (Jatiya Sangsad) the Supreme Court may with the approval of the President, make rules for regulating the practice and procedure of the High Court Division as well as of the Appellate Division. The Supreme Court may delegate any of its functions regarding appointment of employees to a division of that court or to one or more judges. Appointment of the employees of the Supreme Court is made by the chief justice or other judges or officer authorized by the Chief Justice in accordance with the rules made by the court with the approval of the President subject to any law made by the House of the Nation (the Jatiya Sangsad) to determine conditions of their service. The High Court Division has superintendence and control over all subordinate courts and tribunals established by law.

District Judiciary/District Courts

Subordinate Civil Judiciary/District Civil Courts

District Judge is the head of the judiciary in each of the districts. Subject to the superintendence of the High Court Division, District Judge has administrative control over all the civil courts of the district. District Judge has mainly appellate as well as revisional jurisdiction, but in some matters he has original jurisdiction too. Jurisdiction of the Additional District Judge is co-extensive with that of the District Judge. He/she discharges the judicial business assigned to him/her by the District Judge. Appeals to the judgments, decrees and orders passed by the Assistant Judges, Senior Assistant Judges and Joint District Judges lie to the District Judge. Similarly District Judge may transfer the appeals preferred against judgments, decree or orders passed by the Assistant Judges to the Joint District Judges for disposal. Joint District Judges have unlimited civil original jurisdiction.

Civil courts while deciding any question regarding succession, inheritance, marriage or caste or any religious usage or institution apply the Muslim law in cases where the parties are Muslims and' Hindu law in cases where the parties are Hindus except so far as such law has been altered or abolished by any enactment made by the legislature.

There are five classes of subordinate civil courts in this hierarchy:

- District Judge Court
- Additional District Judge Court
- Joint District Judge Court

- Senior Assistant Judge Court
- Assistant Judge Court

Subordinate Criminal Judiciary/District Criminal Courts

There are several classes of subordinate criminal courts. These are Court of Sessions Judge, Courts of Judicial Magistrates, Court of Metropolitan Sessions Judge and Courts of Metropolitan Magistrates. Courts of Judicial Magistrates include the Chief Magistrate, Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate and Senior Judicial Magistrate, Court of Judicial Magistrates of the second and third class. Courts of Metropolitan Magistrates include the Courts of Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate and other Metropolitan Magistrates all exercising power of first class Judicial Magistrate. Since January 1999 Metropolitan Courts of Sessions have been established for the Dhaka and Chittagong metropolitan areas. For performing functions other than judicial there are Executive Magistrates for maintain law and order situation.

District Judges have been empowered to function as Sessions Judges, Additional DistrictJ as Additional Sessions Judges and Joint District Judges as Joint Sessions Judges in the districts. In every district. District Judge performs the functions of the Sessions Judge: Additional District Judge or Judges those of the Additional Sessions Judge or Judges and Joint District Judges those of the Joint Sessions Judges. Metropolitan Sessions Courts have been constituted with former District Judges as the Metropolitan Sessions Judges, former Additional District Judges as Metropolitan Additional Sessions Judges and former Joint District Judges as Metropolitan Joint Sessions Judges in those metropolitan areas. Joint Sessions Judges are subordinate to the Sessions Judges and Sessions Judges may make rules for distribution of business to Joint Sessions Judges. Though Additional Sessions Judges exercise same power as exercised by the Sessions Judges business may be distributed by the Sessions Judge of the district to the Additional Sessions Judge or Judges in that district. Judges of the Courts of Session also functions as Special Judges under the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act 1958 to try offences under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act 1947, and now repealed Anti-Corruption Act 1957 replaced at present by the Anti-Corruption Commission Act, 2004 (Durniti Daman Commission Ain, 2004). Judges of the Courts of Session also act as Special Tribunals to try offences under the provisions of the Special Powers Act 1974. Sessions Judge, Additional Sessions Judge or Joint Sessions Judge acting as special tribunal may award any sentence authorized by law. Sessions Judges and Additional Session Judges also act as Special Courts for suppression of repression of women and children under the provisions of the Suppression of Repression of Women and Children (Special Provisions) Act 2000. Sessions Judges also act as Public Safety Tribunals under the Public Safety (Special Provisions) Act, 2000 for trying offences under that Act. By the Public Safety (Special Provisions) (Amendment) Act, 2002 most of the provisions of the said Act has been repealed but provided for continuation of the pending cases under the said Act reserving power in the government to withdraw appropriate cases. Those repealed provisions have been re-enacted in slightly modified form by the Law and Order Disruptive Crimes (Speedy Trial) Act 2002, and Metropolitan Magistrates and Judicial Magistrates of the first class have been empowered to try such offences within very short time as Speedy Trial Courts. Sessions Judges and Additional Sessions Judges also act as Tribunals to try offences under the Acid Offence Suppression Act 2002. For speedy trial within specified time of offences of murder, rape, possession of illegal arms, explosives and narcotics Speedy Trial Tribunals with judicial officers of the rank of SessionsJ have been constituted initially in the six divisions to be extended later on in each district by transferring some such cases pending before other subordinate criminal courts or tribunals under the Speedy Trial Tribunal Act 2002. Those tribunals, concerned public prosecutors and police officers shall remain responsible for failure to complete trial of such a case within the maximum period of 120 working days. Special rule of evidence for admitting into evidence video film, still picture of any criminal offence or its preparation as well as tape record or disk containing any conversation in connection with such offence have been made applicable to such trials. Sessions Judges and Additional Sessions Judges also act as Money Laundering Court to try offences of money laundering under the Money Laundering Prevention Act 2002. Environment Courts have been constituted with Joint District Judges who are also ex-officio Joint Sessions Judges to try major environment offences

under the Environment Protection Act 1995 and other environment laws and rules and connected offences and Judicial Magistrates of first class and Metropolitan Magistrates as Special Judicial Magistrates have been empowered to try minor environment offences. Pure Food Courts have been constituted with the Judicial Magistrates having first class power to try offences under the Pure Food Ordinance 1959 as amended by the Pure Food (Amendment) Act 2005. Similarly Marine Courts have been constituted with Judicial Magistrates of the first class to try offences under the Inland Shipping Ordinance 1976 with the help of assessors. Since November 2007 Additional District Judge of the district acts as Chief Judicial Magistrate, Joint District Judges as Additional Chief Judicial Magistrates and the Senior Assistant Judge as the Senior Judicial Magistrate (first class) and Assistant Judges as Judicial Magistrate of the second and third class.

Deputy Commissioner of the district acted as District Magistrate and the Additional Deputy Commissioner as Additional District Magistrate. Additional District Magistrate had all or any of the powers of the District Magistrate. But the Additional District Magistrate is subordinate to the District Magistrate for certain purposes. Besides the District Magistrate and Additional District Magistrate there are Executive Magistrates subject to the control of the government defined local areas within which such Executive Magistrates may exercise powers vested on them mainly in maintain law and order situation.

Two types of Criminal Courts exist in this hierarchy:

Sessions Judge Court

- Sessions Judge Court
- Additional Sessions Judge Court
- Joint Sessions Judge Court

Magistrate Courts

- Chief Judicial Magistrate Court
- Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Court
- Senior Judicial Magistrate Court (1st Class)
- Judicial Magistrate Court

Metropolitan Sessions Court & Metropolitan Magistracy

Metropolitan Court is a different type of court found in the metropolitan city of Bangladesh. As per the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC) of 1898, the constitution, procedure, forces and jurisdiction of this court are resolved. After the commencement of Metropolitan Police in 1976, the statute was revised in 1976 by an Ordinance and became effective in 1979. As indicated by this revised law, separate Metropolitan Courts in metropolitan cities have to be set up by the government. By this revision, the Criminal Procedure, at present recognizes the two kinds of judicature based on establishment's location. The first one in District Courts, situated in Districts and the second is the Metropolitan Courts situated in the Metropolises. The flowing cities have metropolitan courts:

• Dhaka, Chittagong, Rajshahi, Khulna, Sylhet, Barishal, Rangpur, Gazipur

Classification of Metropolitan Courts

Generally, Metropolitan court deals the criminal offenses occur in the metropolitan area. Metropolitan Court doesn't deal with civil cases. Thus Metropolitan courts are of 2 subtypes of session or criminal. Those are,

• Metropolitan Sessions Judge Courts

Metropolitan Magistrate Courts

Metropolitan Sessions Judge Courts

Metropolitan Sessions Judge Courts are presided by Sessions Judges. It has started to function from 1999. There are two additional type of session judge courts namely, **Addition Metropolitan Sessions Judge courts** and **Joint Metropolitan Sessions Judge courts**.

Metropolitan Magistrate Courts

Metropolitan Magistrate Courts are presided by Metropolitans who are appointed by the Government. These Magistrates work under the supervision of Metropolitan Sessions Judge. There are three types of Metropolitan Magistrate Courts. Those are,

- Chief Metropolitan Magistrate Courts
- Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate Courts
- Metropolitan Magistrate Courts(1st Class)

Specialized Courts and Tribunals

- Constitutional Court
 None
 - Administrative Court
 - Administrative Tribunals
- Finance Court
 - o Money Loan Courts
 - o Insolvency Courts
 - Income Tax Appellate Tribunals
 - o Special Tribunal for Share Market Scam
- Labour Court
- Labour Courts
- Court of Justice
 - o International Crimes Tribunal
- Social Court
 - Druto Bichar Tribunal
 - Bangladesh Cyber Tribunal

There are also some other special courts both in civil and criminal matters.

Artha Rin Adalat (Loan Court) has been set up in each district under the provisions of the Artha Rin Adalat Ain 1990 by the government appointing Joint District Judges as judges of such courts in consultation with the Supreme Court. All suits for realization of the loan of the financial institutions e.g bank, investment corporation, house building finance corporation, leasing company etc and non-banking financial institutions constituted under the provisions of Financial Institutions Act 1993, are to be filed in the Artha Rin Adalats, and such suits are exclusively triable by such courts. Artha Rin Adalat is a civil court and has all the powers of the civil court.

Deulia Adalat (Bankruptcy Court) has been constituted under the Bankruptcy Act, 1997. District court in each district is the Bankruptcy court of that district, and District Judge is the presiding judge of that court and is authorized to deal with and dispose of bankruptcy cases arising within the district and he/she may authorise an additional (district) judge to deal with and dispose of any such case.

Assistant Judges, Senior Assistant Judges as well as Joint District Judges have been empowered with the functions of Courts of Small Cause to entertain and try suits for realization of money up to thirty thousand taka and for ejectment of the monthly tenant by the landlord when twelve months' rent of the premises is equivalent to the above amount. No appeal lies from the decree or most of the orders passed by the Court of Small Cause except appeal to the District Judge from certain orders. But a revision may be filed to the High Court Division by an aggrieved party against decree or non-appealable order. Assistant Judges and Senior Assistant Judges also perform the functions of the Rent Controller deciding disputes between the landlords and monthly tenants of house premises other than suits for realization of arrear of rent or ejectment from the rented premises such as deposit of standard rent etc. Assistant Judges and Senior Assistant Judges also constitute Family Courts to entertain and try suits arising from family disputes such as restitution of conjugal rights, dissolution of marriage, maintenance of wife and children, custody of children etc.

Administrative Tribunals and Administrative Appellate Tribunals-Administrative tribunals have been established by the government and each of the tribunals consists of one member appointed by the government from amongst persons who are or have been District Judges. Administrative tribunal has exclusive jurisdiction to hear and determine applications made by any person in the service of the Republic (excluding a person in the defence service) or specified bodies and organizations such as Bangladesh Bank etc in respect of terms and conditions of his service including pension rights or any action taken in relation to him as a person in such service. Appeal lies from the decision of the administrative tribunal to the Administrative Appellate Tribunal consisting of a chairman and two members. The chairman shall be a person who is, or has been, or is qualified to be a Judge of the Supreme Court or is or has been an officer in the service of the Republic not below the rank of Joint Secretary to the government. The government appoints a retired Judge of the Supreme Court as Chairman. One of the members is appointed from amongst the retired or serving District Judges and another from amongst the retired or serving Joint Secretaries of the government. Appeal from the decision of the Administrative Appellate Tribunal lies to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court with leave of that division.

Labour Courts and Labourt Appellate Tribunal- Labour Courts have been established to adjudicate disputes regarding employment of commercial or industrial labour. Each of the Labour Courts consists of a chairman and two members. The chairman is appointed from amongst the District Judges or Additional District Judges and one of the members is appointed in consultation with the employers and the other in consultation with the workmen. Labour Court adjudicates and decides industrial disputes, implementation or violation of settlements, and complaints made between the employers and workers and complaints made by workers in respect of retrenchment, lay off, termination and dismissal from service, nonpayment of wages or compensation for disablement in course of service, and it also tries offences in respect of unfair labour practices, breach of or failure to implement settlement, illegal strike or lock-out and non-compliance of Labour Court's order. Any party aggrieved by an award of the Labour Court may prefer an appeal to the Labour Appellate Tribunal. Such tribunal consists of one member only appointed by the government from amongst the sitting or retired Judges of the Supreme Court. Labour Appellate Tribunal is required to dispose of an appeal against an award of the Labour Court in respect of any labour dispute as reinstatement of any worker or workers in service within 180 days of filing the same. But no appeal lies from the decision of the labour court. If any party feels aggrieved by the decision of the labour court he may file an application before the High Court Division for issuing a writ of certiorari to examine the record of the case to satisfy itself as to the legality or propriety of the same. Decision of the labour court as commissioner of workmen's compensation is appealable to the High Court Division.

Court of Settlement. Following the liberation of Bangladesh the government promulgated Abandoned Property (Control, Management and Disposal) Order 1972 (President's Order No.16 of 1972) and made provisions for taking over control, management and disposal of properties which fell within the definition of abandoned property. Disputes arose about the decision of the government in respect of such properties and to set at rest such disputes for ever the government made provisions under the Abandoned Buildings (Supplementary Provisions) Ordinance 1985 for publishing list of all abandoned buildings in the official gazette and for deciding the claims to such properties by a tribunal

called the Court of Settlement. The court of settlement has been constituted by the government with a chairman and two other members. The chairman is appointed from amongst persons who are or have been or are qualified to be a Judge or Additional Judge of the Supreme Court. Of the two members one is appointed from amongst persons who are or have been judicial officers not below the rank of Additional District Judge and the other from amongst persons who are or have been officers not below the rank of a Deputy Secretary of the government. The court of settlement decides the application made to it by any aggrieved person for excluding a property from the list of abandoned buildings after hearing the parties and considering the evidence adduced before it. No appeal lies from the decision of the Court of settlement. An aggrieved party may file an application to the High Court Division for issuance of a writ of certiorari to examine the record of the case to satisfy itself as to the legality or propriety of the decision of the court of settlement.

Arbitration and Arbitration Appellate Tribunal -Government may requisition or acquire any land or building owned by any person for public purpose or in public interest and pay compensation to the owner and occupier of such land or building as per assessment made by the land acquisition officers. Any person aggrieved by an order of assessment of compensation may file an application to the Arbitrator appointed by the government from amongst persons holding post not below the rank of the joint district judge. An appeal lies to the Arbitration Appellate Tribunal constituted with a member appointed from amongst persons who are or have been district judges against the award of the Arbitrator. Decision of Arbitration Appellate Tribunal determining the amount of compensation is final. An aggrieved party may file an application to the High Court Division for issuance of a writ of certiorari to examine the record of the case to satisfy itself as to the legality or propriety of the decision of the Arbitration Appellate Tribunal. Generally one of the joint district judges of the district court is appointed as the arbitrator and the district judge of the district as the Arbitration Appellate Tribunal to decide questions of quantum of compensation.

Election Tribunals -Election disputes require to be settled by tribunals appointed by the Election Commission which is entrusted with the functions of organizing, holding and conducting the election of members of the House of the Nation (the Jatiya Sangsad) and the mayor or chairmen, commissioners or members of local bodies such as union parishads in the rural areas and pourashavas (municipalities) in the urban areas and city corporations in metropolitan areas. To decide election disputes arising from the election of the members of the House of the Nation (the Jatiya Sangsad) the Election Commission previously appointed as many tribunals as found necessary from amongst persons who are or have been district judges. Appeal from the decision of such election dispute lay to the High Court Division. Since 2001 a judge of the High Court Division performs the function of such tribunal to decide such election disputes. Similarly to decide election disputes arising from the election of mayor or chairman and commissioners/members of the municipalities or union parishads and city corporations, Election Commission appoints judicial officers as Election Tribunals. Appeal from the decision of such election dispute lies to the District Judge of the district in which such tribunal is situated. Revision lies to the High Court Division against the decision of the district judge made in appeal from the decision of the Election Tribunal. A judicial officer of the rank of district judge or the district judge of each district was appointed Election Tribunal prior to 2001 for adjudication of election disputes arising from the election of members of the House of the Nation (the Jatiya Sangsad). But Assistant Judges are appointed Election Tribunals to decide election disputes arising from the election of chairman and members of the union parishads. Similarly, Joint District Judges are appointed Election Tribunals for deciding the disputes arising from the election of mayor and ward commissioners of the municipalities and the city corporations.

Vested Property Return Tribunal and Vested Property Return Appellate Tribunal-Under the provision of the Vested Property Return Act, 2001 the government shall by notification in the official gazette appoint ordinarily one tribunal for each district or if necessary one tribunal for more than one districts or additional tribunal for any one district to be known as Vested Property Return Tribunal. Tribunal shall be constituted with a judicial officer of the rank of District Judge or Additional District Judge and the government may appoint a Judge of such tribunal or Additional tribunal to act solely or in addition to his ordinary duties as such tribunal. In case of necessity the government may appoint on contract basis a retired district judge not exceeding sixty years of age to act solely as such tribunal. The said law provides for preparation and publication by gazette notification a list of returnable vested

properties within 180 days of coming into force of the Act and for the owner of such property for filing application to the tribunal within ninety days of publication of such list for return of such property to him and the tribunal to deliver judgment within 180 days of receipt of such an application. The law also provides for preferring appeal within 45 days of the judgment or decision of the tribunal against the same to the appellate tribunal. The law also provides for constitution of one or more appellate tribunals to be known as Vested Property Return Appellate Tribunal by the government and in case of constitution of more than one appellate tribunals to determine their respective territorial jurisdiction. In consultation with the Chief Justice of Bangladesh the government shall appoint judge of the appellate tribunal from amongst persons eligible to be judge of the Supreme Court or a retired judge of the Supreme Court whose tenure shall expire on completion of sixty seven years of his age. Appellate tribunal shall sit in the capital of the country and in case of constitution of more than one appellate tribunal in case of constitution of more than one appellate tribunal shall sit in the capital of the country and in case of constitution of more than one appellate tribunal to the appellate tribunal shall sit in the capital of the country and in case of constitution of more than one appellate tribunal to the appellate tribunal shall shall sit in the capital of the country and in case of constitution of more than one appellate tribunal to the appellate tribunal shall pass its judgment within 180 days of filing of the appeal. A further appeal shall lie against the judgment of the appellate tribunal to the appellate tribunal shall be used of the Supreme Court on specific question of law with leave of that division.

Land Survey Tribunal and Land Survey Appellate Tribunal -Under the provisions of the State Acquisition and Tenancy (Amendment) Act 2004 government may, by notification in the official gazette, establish as many Land Survey Tribunals as may be required to dispose of the suits arising out of final publication of the last revised record of rights prepared under section 144 of the said Act. Government may, by notification in the official gazette, fix and alter the territorial limits of the jurisdiction of any land survey tribunal. Government shall, in consultation with the Supreme Court, appoint the judge of the land survey tribunal from among persons who are Joint District Judges. An appeal arising out of judgment, decree or order of the land survey tribunal shall lie to the land survey appellate tribunal established by the government by notification in the official gazette. More than one such appellate tribunals may be established to hear such appeals. Government may, by notification in the official gazette, fix and alter the territorial limits of any land survey appellate tribunal. Government shall appoint the judge of the land survey appellate tribunal established by the government by notification in the official gazette. More than one such appellate tribunals may be established to hear such appeals. Government may, by notification in the official gazette, fix and alter the territorial limits of jurisdiction of any land survey appellate tribunal. Government shall appoint the judge of the land survey appellate tribunal from among persons who are or have been Judges of the High Court Division of the Supreme Court. An appeal from a judgment or order of the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal shall lie to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court only if the appellate division grants leave to appeal.

The Judicial System in the Digital Era: Revisiting the Relationship between Democracy and Judicial Independence (Bangladesh Perspective)

Saud Hassan¹

During Covid-19 pandemic all Courts of Bangladesh was closed except a single Magistrate Court in a district as per provision of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. The Supreme Court of Bangladesh comprising its two Divisions i.e the Appellate Division and the High Court Division were also closed at that time. Later, virtual court started for bail matter, other urgent criminal matter and some emergency civil matter.

The Virtual Court Act, 2020 empowers the judiciary to use information technology in order to ensure virtual presence during trial, inquiry, hearing of application or appeal or taking evidence or argument or order or judgment. Pursuant to section 5 of the Virtual Court Act, 2020, the Supreme Court of Bangladesh formulated Practice Directions both for lower judiciary and higher judiciary. This Act has been given overriding effect over the procedural laws. The Act specifically met the demand of the exiting COVID-19 pandemic situation. No doubt it is a timely step. It is also a part of E-judiciary system proposed by the government. Even in normal situation, the operation of this Act should be continued as and when required by the Courts.

The Cause list of the Supreme Court of Bangladesh and day to day result of the cases are published in its online site from some years ago. Important orders and judgments of the Supreme Court of Bangladesh are also published in online portal named SCOB- Supreme Court Online bulletin.

In subordinate judiciary, one line cause list has been maintaining from 2022. Results of the cases are also input in online. Advocates and litigant people can get the information of the particular case anytime from anywhere on the very same day.

Judgments of the subordinate courts are also published in online from 2022 which can be seen both in Bnagla and English language. Statistics of case of all Courts are input in online from 2022. Some Courts have started to record depositions in computer in open Court.

The government of Bangladesh has taken a big project named- "E-judiciary". After completing this project, all court rooms will be digitalized, evidence recording software will be evolved, digital record system, digital record room will be introduced and other matters will also be digitalized. Work is going on for the project.

With the advancement of democracy, the judicial system of Bangladesh is also developing and going to be digitalized day by day to ensure the independence of judiciary.

¹ Saud Hassan, Chief Judicial Magistrate (Additional District & Sessions Judge), District-Tangail, Bangladesh (E-mail: <u>sauddu@gmail.com</u>)